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## Plan for today

</> Polynomial kernels
is Possible Winner for $k$-Approval
${ }^{c}$ Machinery to show lower bounds on kernels


$$
(x, k) \in Q \quad \text { if and only if } \quad\left(x^{\prime}, k^{\prime}\right) \in Q
$$

- A kernelization (algorithm) (or kernel) is a poly-time reduction from $Q$ to itself, such that the output is of size $\leq g(k)$

Theorem ("FPT iff kernelization")
A parameterized problem $Q \subseteq \Sigma^{*} \times \mathbb{N}$ is fixed-parameter tractable if and only if it admits a kernelization algorithm.

## Example: kernelization for Vertex Cover

■ Kernelization algorithm for Vertex Cover:
(a) Repeat the following two rules until you reach a fixpoint.

1 If $k>0$, and if there is a vertex $v$ with degree $>k$, remove $v$ and decrease $k$ by one.

2 If there is an isolated vertex $v$, remove $v$.
(b) If the resulting graph $G$ has more than $k^{2}$ edges, return a trivial no-instance.
(c) Otherwise, return $(G, k)$

- Why are rules $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ and step (b) correct? ${ }^{\circ}$
- Why does this satisfy the requirements of a kernelization? Ol (1)
- By distinguishing different classes of functions $g(\cdot)$, we can group problems in FPT into different subclasses


## Definition (polynomial kernel)

A kernelization is called a polynomial kernelization if the function $g(\cdot)$ bounding the size of the output is a polynomial function.

$$
\text { FPT }=\text { has kernel with any computable } g(\cdot)
$$



## Example: Possible Winner for $k$-Approval

■ Possible Winner for $k$-Approval

- Input: A set $C$ of candidates, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, a set of orderings over $C$ (the votes), of which $t$ are partial orders and the rest are linear orders, and some $c^{*} \in C$.
- Parameter: $k$, $t$
- Question: Can we complete the partial orders so that $c^{*}$ becomes a winner under the $k$-Approval rule?

Betzler, N. On problem kernels for possible winner determination under the $k$-approval protocol. Proceedings of the International Symposium on Mathematical Foundations of Computer Science (MFCS). 2010.

## Kernelization for Possible Winner for $k$-Approval

■ There is a kernelization algorithm for Possible Winner for $k$-Approval, parameterized by $k$ and $t$

- Rule 1: fix $c^{*}$ as high as possible in each partial ranking
- (.. more rules and more details ..)
- The bound $g(k)$ on the size of the kernelization is some 'highly exponential' function
(2) Can we improve this to a polynomial kernelization?


## Can we prove whether some problem does not admit poly-size kernels?

- Yes, we can!

Bodlaender, H.L., Downey, R.G., Fellows, M.R., Hermelin, D.: On problems without polynomial kernels. J. Computer and System Sciences 75(8), 423-434 (2009)

## OR-Compositionality



$$
\left(x_{i}, k\right) \in Q \text { for some } i \in\{1, \ldots, t\} \quad \text { if and only if } \quad\left(y, k^{\prime}\right) \in Q
$$

- An $O R$-composition algorithm for $Q$ is a poly-time reduction from an "OR-variant of $Q^{\prime \prime}$ to $Q$, such that $k^{\prime} \leq \operatorname{poly}(k)$


## OR-Distillation



- An $O R$-distillation of $L$ into $R$ is a poly-time reduction from an "OR-variant of $L$ " to $R$


## Theorem

Let $Q$ be a parameterized problem that has an $O R$-composition algorithm, whose unparameterized version is in NP. If $Q$ has a polynomial kernel, then there is a distillation from the unparameterized version of $Q$ to SAT.

- Distillation algorithm:

1 Take inputs $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{t}$ for the unparameterized version of $Q$, and consider the corresponding parameters $k_{1}, \ldots, k_{t}$

2 For each $1 \leq \ell \leq \max k_{i}$, consider all inputs $x_{i}$ for which $k_{i}=\ell$, and apply the composition on this subsequence of $\left(x_{i}, k_{i}\right)$ 's—giving $\left(y_{1}, k_{1}^{\prime}\right), \ldots,\left(y_{r}, k_{r}^{\prime}\right)$
3 Then apply the polynomial kernel on each of $\left(y_{1}, k_{1}^{\prime}\right), \ldots,\left(y_{r}, k_{r}^{\prime}\right)$, giving $\left(z_{1}, k_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right), \ldots,\left(z_{r}, k_{r}^{\prime \prime}\right)$
4 Compute propositional formulas $\varphi_{i}$ that each are satisfiable if and only if $\left(z_{i}, k_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right) \in Q$, and return $\bigvee_{i} \varphi_{i}$

## Bring in the big guns..

> Theorem (Fortnow, Santhanam, 2011)
> If an NP-hard problem L admits an OR-distillation into some problem $R$, then NP $\subseteq$ coNP/poly.

## Theorem (Karp, Lipton, 1980)

If NP $\subseteq$ coNP/poly, then the Polynomial Hierarchy (PH) collapses.

■ So assuming that the PH does not collapse, any NP-complete problem that admits an OR-composition does not admit a polynomial kernel.

## Example of an OR-composition: Longest Path

- Longest Path, parameterized by $k$ :
- Input: $(G, k)$, where $G$ is an undirected graph, and $k \in \mathbb{N}$
- Parameter: $k$
- Question: Is there a simple path in $G$ of length at least $k$ ?
- Longest Path admits an OR-composition
- $\overbrace{0}^{\circ} \mathrm{O}$ (10(1)

11 Take inputs $\left(G_{1}, k\right), \ldots,\left(G_{t}, k\right)$
2 Output ( $G_{1} \dot{\cup} \cdots \dot{\cup} G_{t}, k$ )

## Composition for Possible Winner for k-Approval

## Theorem (Betzler, 2010)

Possible Winner for $k$-Approval, parameterized by $k$ and the number $t$ of partial votes, admits an $O R$-composition.

## Corollary (Betzler, 2010)

If Possible Winner for $k$-Approval, parameterized by $k$ and the number $t$ of partial votes, admits a polynomial kernel, then the PH collapses.

## More general framework

■ Weaker assumptions:

- We required the inputs $\left(x_{1}, k\right), \ldots,\left(x_{t}, k\right)$ to have the same parameter value we can slightly relax this to: the inputs must be equivalent according to some equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}$ (with some nice properties)
- Composition algorithms can be into another problem $R$
- It also works for AND- instead of OR-compositions (and -distillations).
- Stronger conclusions:
- Rule out compression algorithms: polynomial kernelization into another problem


## Recap

</> Polynomial kernels
is Possible Winner for $k$-Approval
c Machinery to show lower bounds on kernels

- OR-compositions, OR-distillations
- in NP + OR-composition + poly-size kernel $\Rightarrow$ OR-distillation
- OR-distillation + NP-hard $\Rightarrow$ PH collapses

